# ARCHIMEDES: A multi-methodical approach to decipher the extensional dynamics of the northern Lau Basin at 16°S

- 1 GEOMAR, Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research, Kiel, Germany
- 2 BGR Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources, Hannover, Germany
- 3 K.U.M, Kiel, Germany
- 4 Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- 5 University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada



Figure 1: Bathymetric map of the larger study area, the discussed profile is marked in red, dredge locations in green. The crossed spreading centres are marked with FRSC (Fonualei Rift spreading centre) and MTJ (Mangatolu Triple Junction). Earthquake data is taken from Conder and Wiens 2011).

### Geological setting

- The Lau basin is a young back-arc basin forming at the Indo-Australian-Pacific plate boundary.
- Its crust displays a geochemical zonation and varying crustal thickness, which depends on the arc offset and the thus conditioned coupling of the spreading centre's and the volcanic arc's melt supplies (Pearce et al., 1994; Hawkins and Taylor, 1995; Martinez and Taylor, 2002; Dunn and Martinez 2011).
- While a weak to no arc influence was determined for the MTJ-S2, coupled melt supplies were suggested for the FRSC1 (Keller et al., 2008, Escrig et al., 2012, Sleeper et al., 2016).
- A distinct feature of the northern Lau basin is an overlapping spreading centre (OLSC) that consists of the southern tip of the eastern axis of the Mangatolu Triple Junction (MTJ-S2) and the northern tip of the Fonualai Rift spreading centre (FRSC1).
- MTJ-S2 shows a patchy, narrow neovolcanic zone (Anderson et al., 2021) and is offset ~25 km from the FRSC1, which is characterised by an axial valley and a steady sized (15 km) volcanic zone (Sleeper and Martinez, 2016; Anderson et al., 2021).
- Despite comparable extension rates (MTJ-S: ~27-33mm/a, FRSC: ~8-22 mm/a), the observed seismicity varies strongly along the OLSC: clusters of earthquakes (EQ) at the FRSC1 and no seismicity at the MTJ-S2 (Conder and Wiens 2011). ■ The formation of the crust between the MTJ-S2 & FRSC1 appears to be independent of the extensional zones (Dziewonski
- et al., 1981; Ekström et al., 2012; Sleeper and Martinez 2016).

### References

- sten M. Haase, Mark Hannington, Alan T. Baxter, and Margaret Stewart. "Geologic and Structural Evolution of the NE Lau Basin, Tonga: Morphotectonic Analysis and Classification of nent and isotope geochemistry of the northern and central Tongan islands with an emphasis on the genesis of high Nb/Ta signatures at the northern volcanoes of Tafahi and Niuatoputapu." Journal of Petrology 58.6
- oject 2004-2010: Centroid-moment tensors for 13.017 earthquakes." Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors: 200-20 narine back-arc lava with arc signature: Fonualei Spreading Center, northeast Lau Basin, Tonga." Journal of Geophysical Research: solid earth 113.B8 (2008
- 416, 417–420 (200) olcanic rocks: influence of ridge segmentation and arc proximity." Geological Society, London, Special Publications 81.1 (1994): 53-7 phathan D., Fernando Martinez, and Richard Arculus. "The Fonualei rift and spreading Center: Effects of ultraslow spreading and arc proximity on back-arc crustal accretion."

Anna Jegen<sup>1</sup>, Anke Dannowski<sup>1</sup>, Heidrun Kopp<sup>1</sup>, Udo Barckhausen<sup>2</sup>, Ingo Heyde<sup>2</sup>, Michael Schnabel<sup>2</sup>, Florian Schmid<sup>3</sup>, Philipp Brandl<sup>1</sup>, Arvinder Sandhu<sup>1</sup>, Reinhard Werner<sup>1</sup>, Michael Riedel<sup>1</sup>, Anouk Beniest<sup>4</sup> and Mark Hannington<sup>5</sup>

Reflection seismic and Parasound data

1) Determine the opening mechanisms at the

(2) Determine whether crustal zonation influences the tectonic expression of the extensional zones

- a 3.9 km long streamer with 312 channels
- using the sea gravimeter system KSS32-M



- Numerous volcanic features, based on seafloor roughness, youngest features are located between 80-100 km.
- Wide spread, now inactive extensional features visible previous wide rift phase

Refraction and wide-angle reflection tomography



Figure 3: Display of A: the final vp tomography result, in which both the mid-crustal boundary and the Moho are marked in red. B: Relative vp distribution, calculated with the 1D profile taken from 105.2 km. C: Calculated depth gradient of the vp distribution, mid-crustal boundary and moho marked in red.

# Potential field methods



Figure 4: Crude density model derived by forward modelling (A) and B: Comparison of measured field strength (black line) and reference profile (blue). Identified anomalies are annotated and marked by dotted lines, grey for the first spreading phase and red for the second.

- FRSC1 displays wide basement cross-cutting density anomaly and no identifiable magnetic anomaly  $\rightarrow$  amagmatic extension, rifting
- MTJ-S2 displays decreased upper crustal densities and a wide zone of increased lower crustal densities.
- MTJ-S2 shows Chron 1 anomaly magmatically active
- $\blacksquare$  Older anomalies are tectonically overprinted  $\longrightarrow$  likely during wide rift phase (MCS, Fig. 2)
- Age of deformed anomalies decreases with arc proximity

# Interpretation

# Crustal zonation and spreading history

- The crust underlying the profile was not formed at the OLSC, but at arc proximal a paleo-spreading centre (P-SC).
- With ongoing spreading, P-SC progressively moved away from the arc  $\rightarrow$  translation of arc-like crust (Fig. 5, DR 106/107)
- Transition to formation of more MORB-like crust, currently visible at ~110 km (Fig. 3B).
- Anomalies formed at the P-SC deformed during wide rift (Fig. 2).
- Crust is currently being magmatically overprinted at the MTJ-S2
- and tectonically overprinted at the FRSC1 (Fig. 4).



Figure 6: Schematic overview of the interpreted crustal zonation under the profile. Yellow marks old crust that was formed when the melt source of the corresponding pale spreading centre (P-SC) was coupled with that of the volcanic arc, pink colour marks crust that was formed after the P-SC's melt source decoupled from the volcanic arc's Superimposed are OBS (yellow star) and EQ (red dot) locations.

# Acknowledgements

This project received funding by the German Ministry of Science and Education (BMBF, Grants 03G0267A and 03G0267B). We thank the captain and crew of RV Sonne for their support during research cruise SO267 and all scientists on-board.

Moho depth increases towards the eastern and western end of profile.

Moho depth is stable under OLSC → Crust thinnest under FRSC1 due to bathymetric low.

Two zones of decreased relative vp (20-40 km;110-180 km).

■ MTJ-S2: increased relative vp in upper crust and decreased vp in lower crust.

■ FRSC1: overall vp decrease.

Anomalies represent disturbances in ambient crustal stratification. Anomalies corelate with heavily faulted or volcanically overprinted seafloor. FRSC1 shows stronger & more extensive anomaly than MTJ-S2





- Varying mantle enrichment, visible in the Nb/Yb ratio.
- Variable subduction input, visible in the Th/Yb ratio.

Trend of decreasing subduction influence with increasing arc offset (compare dredge locations Fig. 1).

FRSC shows stronger subduction influence than MTJ.

■ The crossed volcanic chain at 20-40 km (MCS, Fig. 2), shows a surprisingly strong <sup>100</sup> subduction influence.

Figure 5: Plot of Th/Yb versus Nb/Yb (after Pearce, 2008) of selected datasets from the northern Lau Basin-Tofua arc area. Data sources: Tofua arc front – Beier et al. (2017); North-East Lau Spreading Center (NELSC), eamounts at the NELSC propagator, Niuatahi Rear-Arc (RA) volcano, and the Diagonal Ridge – Haase et al. (in review); Peggy Ridge, Rochambeau Bank and rifts, Niuafo'ou intraplate, and the Mangatolu Triple Junction (MTJ) Tian et al. (2011); Fonualei Rift and Spreading Center (FRSC) – Keller et al. (2008); Caulfield et al. (2012), Escrig et al. (2012); SO267 – Sandhu et al. (in prep.).

### **Opening mechanisms of the FRSC1& MTJ-S2**

■ FRSC1 is rifting, excessive faulting is lowering the density and the bulk modulus, causing the decreased vp, density and the disturbance in the ambient crustal stratification (Fig. 2,3,4).

The MTJ-S2 is in an early-spreading state or rifting with a large magmatic budget, forming the Chron 1 anomaly and the observed ragged seafloor (Fig. 2,4).

At the FRSC1 increased or decreased densities directly translate into a vp decrease or increase respectively (Fig. 3,4).

Considering the amplitude of the relative vp anomalies at the FRSC1 the anomalies are likely strenghtend by an increase in the bulk modulus in the upper crust and decrease in the lower crust.

- The FRSC1 and MTJ-S2 propagated into geochemically zoned crust
- Geochemical zonation and the different underlying mantle regimes (Haase et al., in review) influence the lithosphere's response to stress.

### **Conclusions**

Older, more evolved arc-like crust with low viscosity mantle (Dunn and Martinez 2011) reacts more brittle to the imposed trans tensional stress:  $\rightarrow$  rifting at the FRSC1

Younger, more fertile MORB-like crust with a more viscous mantle (Dunn and Martinez 2011) has a more elastic stress response: → early stage spreading or magm. rifting at the MTJ-S2